

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

Date: 47/09 CYPC)PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

TRAVER, Robert, D. Sheridan Ross P.C. 1560 Broadway Suite 1200 Denver, CO 80202-5141 ETATS-UNIS D'AMER QUE SHERIDAN ROSS P.C. DOCKETING DEPT.

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
12 March 2009 (12.03.2009)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5161-2-PCT

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2005/006448

International filing date (day/month/year) 28 February 2005 (28.02.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)
27 February 2004 (27.02.2004)

Applicant

UNIVERSITY OF DENVER et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Athina Nickitas-Etienne

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt04.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 5161-2-PCT | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See item 4 below | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/US2005/006448 | International filing date (day/month/year) 28 February 2005 (28.02.2005) | Priority date (day/month/year) 27 February 2004 (27.02.2004) | | | |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 | | | | | |
| Applicant UNIVERSITY OF DENVER | | | | | |

| 1. | 1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2. | 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. | | | | | |
| 3. | 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | | |
| | Box No. I | Basis of the report | | | | |
| | Box No. II | Priority | | | | |
| | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opina | ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial | | | |
| | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention | | | | |
| | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and | Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement | | | |
| | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited | | | | |
| | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the intern | national application | | | |
| | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the | international application | | | |
| 4. | 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Date of issuance of this report 03 March 2009 (03.03.2009) | | | |
| The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes | | mbettes | Authorized officer Athina Nickitas-Etienne | | | |
| 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 | | ICCELIANU | e-mail: pt04.pct@wipo.int | | | |

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the | | P | TENI COOPI | EKATION TR | REATY |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| | ATIONAL SEAR | CHING AUTHOR | ITY | | |
| SHERIC | | | | PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) | |
| DENVE | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Date of mailing (day/month/year | , 15 JUL 2008 |
| i | Applicant's or agent's file reference 5161-2-PCT | | | FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below | |
| Internation | onal application N | o. Int | ernational filing date | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) |
| PCT/US | 05/06448 | 1 | March 2005 (01.03.2 | | Trong date (daymoniayear) |
| Internation | onal Patent Classif | ication (IPC) or bo | th national classificat | ion and IPC | |
| IPC: USPC: | C12Q 1/68 AND 435/6 AND 536/2 | | | | |
| Applican UNIVER | ı <u>SITY OF DEN</u> VE | ER . | | | |
| 1. This | opinion contains i | ndications relating | to the following item | | |
| | | | | s: | |
| | Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority | | | | |
| | Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | |
| | Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | entive step and mousular applicating |
| | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| | Box No. VI Certain documents cited | | | | |
| | Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application | | | | |
| | Box No. VIII | Certain observati | ions on the internation | nal application | |
| 1 | THER ACTIO | | | | |
| Autho | ority other than th | ry Examining Au is one to be the IF | thority ("IPEA") exc | cept that this does PEA has notified t | be considered to be a written opinion of the s not apply where the applicant chooses an he International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) lered. |
| of For | m PCT/ISA/220 c | gether, where appi or before the expira | opriate, with amendn | nents, before the ex | PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the spiration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later. |
| For fu | rther options, see | Form PCT/ISA/22 | 0. | | |
| 3. For fu | rther details, see n | otes to Form PCT/ | /ISA/220. | | σ |
| Name and | mailing address o | f the ISA/IIS | Date of committee | on of thisi-i- | Authorized officer |
| N | Mail Stop PCT, Attn: Commissioner for Pa | ISA/US | 20 June 2008 (20 | on of this opinion 0.06.2008) | Frank Lille Mason |
| A | P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 | | , | Telephone No. 703-308-0196 | |
| Facsimile No. (571) 273, 3201 Telephone No. 703-308-0196 | | | | | |

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/06448

| Box No. I Basis of this opinion | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | | | |
| \boxtimes | the international application in the language in which it was filed | | | | | |
| | translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of attendance (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)). | | | | | |
| 2 | This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this | | | | | |
| | Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | | |
| a. | a. type of material | | | | | |
| • | a sequence listing | | | | | |
| | table(s) related to the sequence listing | | | | | |
| b. | format of material | | | | | |
| | on paper | | | | | |
| | in electronic form | | | | | |
| c. | time of filing/furnishing | | | | | |
| | contained in the international application as filed. | | | | | |
| | filed together with the international application in electronic form. | | | | | |
| | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | | | | |
| 4. | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. | | | | | |
| 5. Additi | onal comments: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/06448

YES

NO

| Sox No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | |
|---|--------------------|-----|--|
| 1. Statement | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims 3 and 5-26 | YES | |
| | Claims 1, 2, and 4 | NO | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims 3 and 5-26 | YES | |
| | Claims 1, 2, and 4 | NO | |
| | • | | |

2. Citations and explanations:

Industrial applicability (IA)

1. Claims 1 and 2 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 teaches a method of isolating a high complexity nucleic acid molecule, comprising: a) hybridizing high complexity nucleic acid fragments (ie., target nucleic acids) to a functionalized nucleic acid probe (ie., biotin-labeled primer) having a sequence complimentary to at least a portion of a high complexity nucleic acid molecule to form hybridized nucleic acid fragments; b) complexing the functionalized nucleic acid probe with a capture agent (ie., magnetic beads coated with avidin); c) immobilizing the capture agent (ie., on a well); and, d) eluting the high complexity nucleic acid molecules from the functionalized nucleic acid probe as recited in claim 1 wherein the functionalized nucleic acid probe is a biotinylated nucleic acid

Claims 1-26

Claims NONE

probe as recited in claim 2 (see abstract and columns 19 and 20).

Therefore, US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 teaches all limitations recited in claims 1 and 2.

2. Claim 4 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 in view of US Patent No. 5,512,439 A.

The teachings of US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 have been summarized previously, supra.

US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 does not disclose that the capture agent comprises streptavidin-coated magnetic beads as recited in claim 4.

US Patent No. 5,512,439 A teaches that streptavidin/avidin coated magnetic beads (see Example 7 in column 19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have performed the method recited in claim 4 wherein the capture agent comprises streptavidin-coated magnetic beads in view of the prior art of US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 and US Patent No. 5,512,439 A. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so because the simple substitution of one kind of capture agent (ie., avidin-coated magnetic beads taught by US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1) from another kind of capture agent (ie., streptavidin-coated magnetic beads taught by US Patent No. 5,512,439 A) during the process of performing the method recited in claim 4, in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made since avidin-coated magnetic beads taught by US Patent No. 6,670,124 B1 and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads taught by US Patent No. 5,512,439 A are used for the same purpose (ie., used as capture agent).

Furthermore, the motivation to make the substitution cited above arises from the expectation that the prior art elements will perform their expected functions to achieve their expected results when combined for their common known purpose.

Also note that there is no invention involved in combining old elements is such a manner that these elements perform in combination the same function as set forth in the prior art without giving unobvious or unexpected results.

3. Claims 3 and 5-26 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest all limitations recited in claims 3 and 5-26.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/06448

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 6 and 12 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 6 and 12 are indefinite for the following reason(s):

Claim 6 is indefinite. Since claim 1 does not contain digested high complexity nucleic acid fragments, it is unclear why at least one DNA linker can be ligated to the ends of digested high complexity nucleic acid fragments to form ligated nucleic acid fragments prior to the hybridizing step. Please clarify.

Claim 12 is indefinite. Since claims 1 and 11 do not contain digested high complexity nucleic acid fragments, it is unclear why at least one DNA linker can be ligated to the ends of digested high complexity nucleic acid fragments to form ligated nucleic acid fragments prior to the hybridizing step. Please clarify.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (April 2007)